

Vision Transition Steering Team

Date: Tuesday, April 9/13

Location : President's Boardroom - Trafalgar

Chair: Jeff Zabudsky

Attendees: Ian Marley, Cathi Berge, Lisa Piccolo, Maria Lucido-Bezely, Andre Plante, Sylvia Lowndes, Bill Holmes, Mike Collins, Has Malik, Sandy McKean, Richard Finch, Mary Preece, Linda Dalton, Joan Sweeney Marsh, Sara Rumsey

Regrets: Steven Parfeniuk, Ronni Rosenberg, Jocelyn Piercy, Melanie Spence-Ariemma

Minutes Taken By: S Shaver

Item	By	Key notes	Action/Responsibility/Timeline
1. Approval of Agenda	Jeff Zabudsky	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to approve the agenda by Sylvia Lowndes, seconded by Has Malik, all in favour, carried. • Motion to approve the Minutes of January 15, 2013 by Has Malik, seconded by Maria Lucido Bezely, all in favour, carried.
2. President's Update	Jeff Zabudsky	<p>BC Institutions particularly interesting for us, college hybrid model.</p> <p>VIU – labour challenges seemed to be more fiscal challenge issues rather than labour issues. Bill Holmes suggests it was the lack of communication that was a problem re: how they would be impacted, how their titles and pay would be impacted, etc.</p> <p>Will look toward having people who went through this institutional transformation come to talk to us. The idea of hosting a national</p>	<p>Jeff suggests there would be value in others having the face to face opportunity to visit these institutions.</p> <p>Mary P to follow up with Glen Jones, and Ralph Benmurgi</p>

		<p>conference about institutional differentiation, new models of post-secondary education, etc. Glen Jones from OISE as well as HEQCO may be interested in partnering in hosting this conversation with Sheridan. It is a good opportunity for Sheridan to be seen as a “thought leader”.</p> <p>Discussion of communication challenges, getting the message clearly understood is critical and a challenge. Cathi B suggests the cascading messaging is most effective.</p> <p>Board Retreat – reviewed the legislative environments and got feedback on the principles that are important for Sheridan to maintain in draft legislation that is brought forward to the government.</p>	<p>AUCC accreditation – Library is a significant part of the criteria and Joan Sweeney Marsh has now a new member of the Vision Steering Team.</p> <p>Group has agreed in principle to go forward with the idea of partnering on a national conference on differentiation, unique models.</p> <p>Will plan to bring forward the draft legislation being created from the Board conversations to this group.</p>
3. Next Steps	Mary Preece	<p>Mary reviewed the draft Differentiators document that is intended to capture what we want to be and in being more specific about what we will/want to be, also helps to understand what we will and will not be – helps provide clarity to the expectations about what Sheridan University will and won't be.</p>	<p>Suggestion to be more specific about the student focus</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Future Proofing” our students for the jobs that don't exist now and will change rapidly • Life-long learning, related to changing work world, fast paced • Suggest more emphasis on the Creativity and Innovation, • Student success – resilience, flexibility, • Reference Yael's presentation – some of the terms may be helpful • “applied” research – maybe needs to expand the description. Undergraduate research, broadens – purposeful and meaningful • ‘emerging information technology’ – skills to adapt, critical thinking skills,

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research – informing society and community- public academic – utility and service • Excitement and engagement – energy, ‘fun’/pleasure of learning/engagement in learning • Foster independence / soft skills/ resilience • Community/sense of belonging • Ignite the passion • Michael Skolnic article describes the differentiation
<p>4. Validation of prioritization exercise</p>	<p>Sara Rumsey</p>	<p>Sara reviewed the Engagement Team recommendations and their prioritization as recently reviewed. Now need to re-look at the picture, what is missing, what needs to be expanded. This will represent the higher level pieces of what we need to consider and keep in mind and beyond that there will be a great deal of operational work to happen</p> <p>Direct metrics perspective – AUCC related, AND others that are important to Sheridan and we need to work on.</p> <p>AUCC criteria on the left – and the related pieces, and also the differentiators. AUCC, refinement of Sheridan/who we are, and</p> <p>AUCC – more undergraduate degree spaces – and that is a legislation request, but at the same time some of the Sheridan differentiators</p>	<p>Timeline considerations, when are we going to invite AUCC to visit us. Jeff has made a contact who may be helpful to us in our AUCC preparation/dress rehearsals</p> <p>Re-sort based on what aligns with AUCC requirements. Will help determine where the budget spending will be needed – ensure it is first spent on what is required to become Sheridan University, and second spend on what we would like</p>

5. Re-Launched Journey newsletter and website	Sara Rumsey	26% opened the newsletter email, of those 34% went one step further to open into a link.	Journey website is Journey.sheridancollege.ca; E-newsletter sent monthly
6. Journey and Quality Metrics	Mary Preece	Journey metrics and quality metrics need to be worked on/aligned	
7. New Business		None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Andre Plante made a motion to adjourn.

Next Vision Transition Steering Team meeting: **Tuesday, May 14, 2013** President's Boardroom, Trafalgar Campus **9 a.m. – 10 a.m.**